

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/875,184	06/07/2001	Tadaoki Takii	010493	7678
38834 75	590 05/11/2004		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			GORDON, BRIAN R	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		1743	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/200)4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	No. Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/875,184	TAKII ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Brian R. Gordon	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply h places the applica	/ to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	*					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (c)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the ma	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final in th	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the po		t			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	(Y)a	LUNCEN M. WALLENHO! PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1888 17	สอา			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant has amended claim 1 to incorporate a negative limitation (not previously claimed or required) or condition that does not occur during the usage of the moving means. It also appears that applicant's claims incorporates new matter. The claim is directed to a "suction nozzle moving means" and it's capabilities as related to a vessel. The vessel is not positively recited as a limitation of the apparatus and thus it is not considered to further limit the claim. While the vessel has not been positively claimed and further not considered an element of the invention, the examiner would like to point out to applicant that the specification (page 3) discloses the nozzle as being kept in contact with the inner wall. There is no mention of what contact does or does not occur relative to a sidewall. There is no mention of the vessel comprising a "sidewall". As seen in the figures and diclosed in the specification the vessel 6 comprising wells 6a comprising inner wall. The inner wall can be cleary seen as a single, concave surface there is no distinction disclosed as to the device comprising more that one inner wall that would constitute sidewalls and a bottom wall (dimensions to where each wall begins and ends or edges of different walls meeting at a point). Claim 3 also did not previously require two ports configured as presently claimed.